

(4403) Auchinleck Court

TSM Survey Court Report 2024

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

TSM Key Metrics


Keeping Properties in Good Repair		Respectful & Helpful Engagement					
Well Maintained Home	76%	- Listens and Acts	76%				
Safe Home	88%	<i>i</i> Kept Informed	76%				
Repairs Last 12 Months	85%	Fairly and with Respect	75%				
Time Taken Repairs	91%	Complaints Handling	60%				
Responsible Neighbourhood Management							
Communal Areas 88% Neighbourhood Contribution 65% Approach to ASB 79%							

Housing⁽²⁾

This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the previous surveys for this specific Court.

Over Time






Year-on-Year Change – Auchinleck Court



Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specifc court, with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green coloured, while decreases are pink.

	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Satisfaction	80%	82% (+2)
Well Maintained Home	73%	76% (+3)
Safe Home	80%	88% (+8)
Housing Management Team	81%	76% (-5)
Communal Areas	79%	88% (+9)
Repairs Last 12 Months	82%	85% (+3)
Time Taken Repairs	73%	91% (+18)
Neighbourhood Contribution	62%	65% (+3)
Approach to ASB	62%	79% (+17)
Kept Informed	87%	76% (-10)
Complaints Handling	33% *	60% (+27) *
Listens and Acts	73%	76% (+3)
Fairly and with Respect	87%	75% (-12)

What one thing do you like about the services provided by Housing 21?




What one thing could Housing 21 do better to improve its services?

■ (n=11) Customer services & contact - Care, 2 empathy, support etc Other - General negative comment Other - Neutral comment Other - No comment/suggestions Customer services & contact -Complaints handling Day-to-day repairs - Contractor Day-to-day repairs - Quality of work Grounds maintenance - Bushes & hedges - maintenance / weeding Grounds maintenance - Flower beds - maintenance / weeding Grounds maintenance - Grounds maintenance generally Neighbourhood problems - Antisocial behaviour Positive comments - Generally happy, no problems

Benchmarking – RSH 2023/24 (LCRA)



It is possible to compare the results from this scheme with the results from the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for LCRA properties for 2023/24. Shown here are the quartile positions of all those working who have published their data and is therefore comparable with this Housing 21 scheme.



Scheme Performance

Housing

£32,208.17

0



EPC Score (Average score of all properties within the scheme)



-1-1-1-

EPC Rating (Average score of all properties within the scheme)

EPC Date of assessment

15/12/2019



Total Repairs Spend (£) -Last 12 months (Nov)



No, ASB Cases - Last 12 months (Nov)



No. Stage 1 Complaints - **0** Last 12 months (Oct)





N/A

74.1

С




Date of last CQC Score

N/A



No. Repairs - Last 12 months (Nov) 126







Total No. Formal **0** Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)

TSM Summary of Approach



A.	A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	17
B.	Timing of survey	April 2024 to November 2024
C.	Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D.	Sample method	Census
E.	Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F	Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G.	Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
Н.	The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I.	Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J.	Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	10 x £50 shopping vouchers
K.	Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported	None



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact: **Denise Raine:** denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity Tel: 01273 287114 Email: acuity@arap.co.uk Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL

