

(3903) Mays Court

TSM Survey Court Report 2024

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



## 77% **Overall Satisfaction**

Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

### **TSM Key Metrics**

## Housing (2)

#### **Keeping Properties in Good Repair**

#### Respectful & Helpful Engagement

Well Maintained Home	88%	Listens and Acts	73%
Safe Home	79%	Kept Informed	73%
Repairs Last 12 Months	81%	Fairly and with Respect	77%
Time Taken Repairs	94%	Complaints Handling	75%

#### **Responsible Neighbourhood Management**


	ı			ı	
H	Communal Areas	85%	<b>S</b>	Neighbourhoo Contribution	od 70%

71%

#### **Over Time**



This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the previous surveys for this specific Court.



### **Year-on-Year Change – Mays Court**



Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specifc court, with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green coloured, while decreases are pink.

	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Satisfaction	85%	77% (-8)
Well Maintained Home	85%	88% (+3)
Safe Home	88%	79% (-9)
Housing Management Team	89%	81% (-8)
Communal Areas	73%	85% (+12)
Repairs Last 12 Months	83%	81% (-2)
Time Taken Repairs	72%	94% (+22)
Neighbourhood Contribution	65%	70% (+4)
Approach to ASB	68%	71% (+3)
Kept Informed	85%	73% (-12)
Complaints Handling	50% *	75% (+25) *
Listens and Acts	59%	73% (+14)
Fairly and with Respect	81%	77% (-4)


# What one thing do you like about the services provided by Housing 21?

Manager Positive - Customer service/helpfulness (manager) Manager Positive - Overall manager service Positive comments - Feel safe and secure Manager Positive - Availability of manager Manager Positive - Time taken to resolve enquiry (manager) Positive comments - Good value for money Positive comments - Like my home (type, size, condition) Positive comments - Repairs service/workforce Scheme/Estate Negative -Neighbours/community spirit Scheme/Estate Positive - Good communal areas Scheme/Estate Positive -Neighbours/community spirit Scheme/Estate Positive - Pull cord alarm system



■ (n=18)

# What one thing could Housing 21 do better to improve its services?



### Benchmarking – RSH 2023/24 (LCRA)



It is possible to compare the results from this scheme with the results from the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for LCRA properties for 2023/24. Shown here are the quartile positions of all those working who have published their data and is therefore comparable with this Housing 21 scheme.



#### **Scheme Performance**



\frac{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} \frac{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow	EPC Score (Average score of all properties within the scheme)	74.5	<b>6</b>	Total Repairs Spend (£) - Last 12 months (Nov)	£39,024.26
	EPC Rating (Average score of all properties within the scheme)	С		No, ASB Cases - Last 12 months (Nov)	0
	EPC Date of assessment	14/06/2019	2	No. Stage 1 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
	Overall CQC Score	N/A	<b>6</b>	No. Stage 2 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
70	Date of last CQC Score	N/A		Total No. Formal Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
*	No. Repairs - Last 12 months (Nov)	131			

## **TSM Summary of Approach**



A.	A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	26
В.	Timing of survey	April 2024 to November 2024
C.	Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D.	Sample method	Census
E.	Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F	Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G.	Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
Н.	The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I.	Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J.	Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	10 x £50 shopping vouchers
K.	Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported	None



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact:

Denise Raine: denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity

Tel: 01273 287114

Email: acuity@arap.co.uk

Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL







