

(3106) Percy Court

TSM Survey Court Report 2024

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



# 87% **Overall Satisfaction**

Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

### **TSM Key Metrics**

Housing (2)

#### **Keeping Properties in Good Repair**

\$ Well Maintained Home	87%

_

Safe Home

**Repairs Last 12 Months** 56%



**Time Taken Repairs** 67%

#### Respectful & Helpful Engagement









#### **Responsible Neighbourhood Management**



100%



87%




Approach to **ASB** 

50%

73%

#### **Over Time**

This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the previous surveys for this specific Court.



## **Year-on-Year Change – Percy Court**



Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specifc court, with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green coloured, while decreases are pink.

	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Satisfaction	78%	87% (+9)
Well Maintained Home	76%	87% (+10)
Safe Home	82%	87% (+4)
Housing Management Team	67%	87% (+20)
Communal Areas	76%	100% (+24)
Repairs Last 12 Months	75%	56% (-19) *
Time Taken Repairs	69%	67% (-2) *
Neighbourhood Contribution	43%	64% (+21)
Approach to ASB	20%	50% (+30)
Kept Informed	72%	73% (+1)
Complaints Handling	13% *	40% (+28) *
Listens and Acts	47%	69% (+22)
Fairly and with Respect	78%	87% (+9)

## Benchmarking – RSH 2023/24 (LCRA)



It is possible to compare the results from this scheme with the results from the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for LCRA properties for 2023/24. Shown here are the quartile positions of all those working who have published their data and is therefore comparable with this Housing 21 scheme.





Care

## **Care Metrics Summary**





This page displays the satisfaction scores for the care survey which was was only asked of residents in Extra Care.

These questions are split into three sections, well-being, care, and communication with residents asked how satisfied they are with each statement.

	Safe	100%	20	Get Help When Needed	100%
9	Listened To	100%		Preferences/Trained	100%
	Independent	100%		Care Plan Meets Needs	-
	Activities & Hobbies	100%	Q	Involved in Changes	-
	Treat with Respect	100%		Time Changes Communicated	-
	Get the Time Needed	100%	<b>6</b>	Communication Suits Me	-

### Benchmarking


*!*:.

When considering Housing 21 as a whole, the table on the right shows satisfaction for all Housing 21 Extra Care residents surveyed this year. Satisfaction is high with 95% satisfied with the overall services provided.

All the remaining measures received 90% or more satisfaction, apart from involved in changes (89%), activities & hobbies (86%), and 84% of residents satisfied with changes to the times they receive their care are communicated with.

The chart to the left shows your court in comparison with the overall results for Housing 21, allowing you to benchmark against all other courts.






#### **Trends Over Time**



This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the last two surveys for Extra Care residents in your Court.



## **Year-on-Year Change**




Housing 21 undertook a Care-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results, with those for 2024/25.

	2024/25
Safe	100% *
Listened To	100% *
Independent	100% *
Activities & Hobbies	100% *
Treat with Respect	100% *
Get the Time Needed	100% *
Get Help When Needed	100% *
Preferences/Trained	100% *

#### **Scheme Performance**





## **TSM Summary of Approach**



A.	A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	15
B.	Timing of survey	April 2024 to November 2024
C.	Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D.	Sample method	Census
E.	Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F	Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G.	Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
Н.	The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I.	Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J.	Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	10 x £50 shopping vouchers
K.	Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported	None



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact:

Denise Raine: denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity

Tel: 01273 287114

Email: acuity@arap.co.uk

Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL







