

(2009) Dennis Porter Court

TSM Survey
Court Report 2024

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



81% **Overall Satisfaction**

Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

TSM Key Metrics

Housing (2)

Keeping Properties in Good Repair

Respectful & Helpful Engagement

Well Maintained Home	84%	Listens and Acts	54%
Safe Home	84%	Kept Informed	62%
Repairs Last 12 Months	73%	Fairly and with Respect	80%
Time Taken Repairs	82%	Complaints Handling	0%


Responsible Neighbourhood Management



Over Time

!

This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the previous surveys for this specific Court.



Year-on-Year Change – Dennis Potter Court



Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specifc court, with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green coloured, while decreases are pink.

	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Satisfaction	75%	81% (+6)
Well Maintained Home	81%	84% (+3)
Safe Home	81%	84% (+3)
Housing Management Team	68%	73% (+5)
Communal Areas	96%	95% (0)
Repairs Last 12 Months	73%	73% (0)
Time Taken Repairs	58%	82% (+23)
Neighbourhood Contribution	30%	67% (+36)
Approach to ASB	63%	65% (+2)
Kept Informed	46%	62% (+15)
Complaints Handling	29% *	0% (-29) *
Listens and Acts	57%	54% (-3)
Fairly and with Respect	75%	80% (+5)

What one thing do you like about the services provided by Housing 21?

■ (n=12) Positive comments - Feel safe and secure Positive comments - Good overall service Care Positive - Availability of care (there when I need it) Other - No comment/suggestions Manager Positive - Availability of manager Manager Positive - Overall manager service Positive comments - Like my home (type, size, condition) Scheme/Estate Positive - Good maintenance of communal areas Scheme/Estate Positive - Happy at the scheme/Estate Care Positive - Helpful and friendly / good attitude Care Positive - Attending emergencies



What one thing could Housing 21 do better to improve its services?

■ (n=17) Positive comments - Generally happy, no problems Day-to-day repairs - Timescales to complete repairs Grounds maintenance - Grass cutting Communal areas - Rubbish storage areas Communications and information -Communications (in general) Communications and information -Information on service standards Communications and information -Listen carefully, take interest Customer services & contact -Complaints handling Day-to-day repairs - Communication about repair (before work started) Day-to-day repairs - Outstanding / forgotten repairs Grounds maintenance - Frequency of service Grounds maintenance - Garden furniture

Benchmarking – RSH 2023/24 (LCRA)



It is possible to compare the results from this scheme with the results from the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for LCRA properties for 2023/24. Shown here are the quartile positions of all those working who have published their data and is therefore comparable with this Housing 21 scheme.



Scheme Performance



Y= V= V= 0=	EPC Score (Average score of all properties within the scheme)	74.9	S	Total Repairs Spend (£) - Last 12 months (Nov)	£33,909.38
(A)	EPC Rating (Average score of all properties within the scheme)	С		No, ASB Cases - Last 12 months (Nov)	0
	EPC Date of assessment	15/0/2021	2	No. Stage 1 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
	Overall CQC Score	N/A	60	No. Stage 2 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
700	Date of last CQC Score	N/A		Total No. Formal Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)	0
33	No. Repairs - Last 12 months (Nov)	145			

TSM Summary of Approach



A.	A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	26
В.	Timing of survey	April 2024 to November 2024
C.	Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D.	Sample method	Census
E.	Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F	Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G.	Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
Н.	The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I.	Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J.	Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	10 x £50 shopping vouchers
K.	Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported	None



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact:

Denise Raine: denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity

Tel: 01273 287114

Email: acuity@arap.co.uk

Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL







