

(1908) Charles Ley Court

TSM Survey Court Report 2024

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



82% **Overall Satisfaction**

Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

TSM Key Metrics

Housing (2)

Keeping Properties in Good Repair

Respectful & Helpful Engagement

Well Maintained Home	88%	Listens and Acts	56%
Safe Home	82%	Kept Informed	75%
Repairs Last 12 Months	92%	Fairly and with Respect	76%
Time Taken Repairs	100%	Complaints Handling	20%


Responsible Neighbourhood Management

	I		I	
##	Communal Areas	81%	Neighbourhood Contribution	64%

60%

Over Time

This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the previous surveys for this specific Court.



Year-on-Year Change – Charles Ley Court



Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specifc court, with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green coloured, while decreases are pink.

	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Satisfaction	69%	82% (+14)
Well Maintained Home	69%	88% (+19)
Safe Home	69%	82% (+14)
Housing Management Team	73%	82% (+9)
Communal Areas	80%	81% (+1)
Repairs Last 12 Months	44% *	92% (+47)
Time Taken Repairs	56% *	100% (+44)
Neighbourhood Contribution	27%	64% (+38)
Approach to ASB	40%	60% (+20)
Kept Informed	50%	75% (+25)
Complaints Handling	0% *	20% (+20) *
Listens and Acts	44%	56% (+13)
Fairly and with Respect	56%	76% (+20)

What one thing do you like about the services provided by Housing 21?

■ (n=14) Positive comments - Like my home (type, size, condition) Scheme/Estate Positive - Garden Other - General negative comment Other - No comment/suggestions Customer services & contact -Complaints handling Manager Positive - Act on views/getting things done (manager) Manager Positive - Customer service/helpfulness (manager) Manager Positive - Overall manager service Neighbourhood problems - Antisocial behaviour Positive comments - Attitude of staff Positive comments - Feel safe and secure Positive comments - Good overall service

What one thing could Housing 21 do better to improve its services?

■ (n=11) Tenant services and management -Value for money (rent/service... Communal areas - Lifts Communications and information -Consult or inform before acting Communications and information -Keep tenants up to date Communications and information -Listen carefully, take interest Communications and information -More events, meetings Customer services & contact -Resolving problems Day-to-day repairs - Timescales to complete repairs Manager Negative - Availability of manager Manager Negative - Customer service/helpfulness (manager) Neighbourhood problems - Antisocial behaviour Positive comments - Good overall service

Benchmarking – RSH 2023/24 (LCRA)




It is possible to compare the results from this scheme with the results from the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for LCRA properties for 2023/24. Shown here are the quartile positions of all those working who have published their data and is therefore comparable with this Housing 21 scheme.



Scheme Performance





TSM Summary of Approach



A.	A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	17
В.	Timing of survey	April 2024 to November 2024
C.	Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D.	Sample method	Census
E.	Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F	Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G.	Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
Н.	The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I.	Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J.	Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	10 x £50 shopping vouchers

None

Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported

K.



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact:

Denise Raine: denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity

Tel: 01273 287114

Email: acuity@arap.co.uk

Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL







