

(1751) Norton Court

TSM Survey Court Report 2024

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

TSM Key Metrics

Areas

Keeping Properties in Good Re	pair	Respectful & Helpful Engagement						
Well Maintained Home	84%	- Contract Listens and Acts	74%					
Safe Home	84%	<i>i</i> Kept Informed	85%					
Repairs Last 12 Months	70%	Fairly and with Respect	80%					
Time Taken Repairs	75%	Complaints Handling	60%					
Responsible Neighbourhood Management								
Communal S Neighbourhood Approach to								

Contribution

63%

ASB

94%


87%

Housing⁽²⁾

This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the previous surveys for this specific Court.

Over Time






Year-on-Year Change – Norton Court



Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specifc court, with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green coloured, while decreases are pink.

	2023/24	2024/25
Overall Satisfaction	50%	80% (+30)
Well Maintained Home	50%	84% (+34)
Safe Home	64%	84% (+21)
Housing Management Team	36%	79% (+43)
Communal Areas	50%	94% (+44)
Repairs Last 12 Months	40% *	70% (+30)
Time Taken Repairs	40% *	75% (+35) *
Neighbourhood Contribution	30%	63% (+33)
Approach to ASB	56% *	87% (+31)
Kept Informed	50%	85% (+35)
Complaints Handling	50% *	60% (+10) *
Listens and Acts	45%	74% (+28)
Fairly and with Respect	55%	80% (+25)

What one thing do you like about the services provided by Housing 21?



What one thing could Housing 21 do better to improve its services?

Day-to-day repairs - Timescales to 2 complete repairs Positive comments - Generally happy, no problems Scheme/Estate Negative - Garden 2 Other - Dont know Other - No comment/suggestions Customer services & contact - Care. empathy, support etc Day-to-day repairs - Appointments Day-to-day repairs - Outstanding / forgotten repairs Day-to-day repairs - Quality of work Manager Negative -Communications/listening (manager) Positive comments - Repairs service/workforce Property condition - Flooring

■ (n=14)

Benchmarking – RSH 2023/24 (LCRA)



It is possible to compare the results from this scheme with the results from the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for LCRA properties for 2023/24. Shown here are the quartile positions of all those working who have published their data and is therefore comparable with this Housing 21 scheme.



Scheme Performance

Housing⁽²⁾

£78,299.88

0



EPC Score (Average score of all properties within the scheme)



-1-1-1-

EPC Rating (Average score of all properties within the scheme)

EPC Date of assessment





Total Repairs Spend (£) -Last 12 months (Nov)



No, ASB Cases - Last 12 months (Nov)



No. Stage 1 Complaints -1 Last 12 months (Oct)





N/A

73.7

С



- Date of last CQC Score
- N/A





166





No. Stage 2 Complaints -0 Last 12 months (Oct)



Total No. Formal 1 Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)

TSM Summary of Approach



А.	A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	20
B.	Timing of survey	April 2024 to November 2024
C.	Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D.	Sample method	Census
E.	Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F	Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G.	Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
Н.	The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I.	Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J.	Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	10 x £50 shopping vouchers
K.	Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported	None



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact: **Denise Raine:** denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity Tel: 01273 287114 Email: acuity@arap.co.uk Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL

