

(1736) Paines Brook Court

TSM Survey Court Report 2024

Prepared by: Acuity Research & Practice



Acuity was commissioned to undertake, independent satisfaction surveys of all Housing 21's residents, including retirement living and extra care, to collect data on their opinions of, and attitudes towards, their landlord and the services provided.

A survey questionnaire was sent to all residents. The survey was primarily conducted by post, but residents were also given the opportunity to complete the questionnaire online if they wished.

TSM Key Metrics


Keeping Properties in Good Repair		Respectful & Helpful Engagement				
Well Maintained Home	87%	- Čg- Listens and Acts 73	3%			
Safe Home	79%	i Kept Informed 67	7%			
Repairs Last 12 Months	100%	Fairly and with Respect 73	3%			
Time Taken Repairs	85%	Complaints Handling 33	3%			
Responsible Neighbourhood Management						
Communal Areas 81%	Neighbo Contribu		8%			

Housing⁽²⁾

This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the previous surveys for this specific Court.

Over Time






Year-on-Year Change – Paines Brook Court




Housing 21 undertook a TSM-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results from this specifc court, with those for 2024/25. Any increases are green coloured, while decreases are pink.

	2023/24	2024/25	
Overall Satisfaction	88%	74% (-14)	
Well Maintained Home	88%	87% (-1)	
Safe Home	94%	79% (-15)	
Housing Management Team	88%	75% (-13)	
Communal Areas	85%	81% (-4)	
Repairs Last 12 Months	100% *	100% (0)	
Time Taken Repairs	83% *	85% (+1)	
Neighbourhood Contribution	79%	52% (-26)	
Approach to ASB	85%	63% (-21)	
Kept Informed	87%	67% (-20)	
Complaints Handling	100% *	33% (-67) *	
Listens and Acts	87%	73% (-14)	
Fairly and with Respect	86%	73% (-13)	

What one thing do you like about the services provided by Housing 21?



What one thing could Housing 21 do better to improve its services?



Benchmarking – RSH 2023/24 (LCRA)



It is possible to compare the results from this scheme with the results from the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) data for LCRA properties for 2023/24. Shown here are the quartile positions of all those working who have published their data and is therefore comparable with this Housing 21 scheme.









This page displays the satisfaction scores for the care survey which was was only asked of residents in Extra Care.

These questions are split into three sections, well-being, care, and communication with residents asked how satisfied they are with each statement.

Care Metrics Summary



Housing⁽²⁾

Benchmarking



When considering Housing 21 as a whole, the table on the right shows satisfaction for all Housing 21 Extra Care residents surveyed this year. Satisfaction is high with 95% satisfied with the overall services provided.

All the remaining measures received 90% or more satisfaction, apart from involved in changes (89%), activities & hobbies (86%), and 84% of residents satisfied with changes to the times they receive their care are communicated with.

The chart to the left shows your court in comparison with the overall results for Housing 21, allowing you to benchmark against all other courts.

Paines Brook Court




Housing 21 Overall



This trend line shows how satisfaction has fluctuated over the last two surveys for Extra Care residents in your Court.

Trends Over Time





Year-on-Year Change




Housing 21 undertook a Care-based survey of its residents in 2023/24. The table to the right compares these past results, with those for 2024/25.

100%	Overall Care Services
100%	Safe
100%	Listened To
100%	Independent

Listened To	100% *
Independent	100% *
Activities & Hobbies	100% *
Treat with Respect	100% *
Get the Time Needed	100% *
Get Help When Needed	100% *
Preferences/Trained	100% *
Care Plan Meets Needs	100% *
Involved in Changes	100% *
Time Changes Communicated	100% *
Communication Suites Me	100% *

2024/25

*



Scheme Performance

Housing



EPC Score (Average score of all properties within the scheme)



-1-1-1-

EPC Rating (Average score of all properties within the scheme)

EPC Date of assessment

09/04/2019



Total Repairs Spend (£) -Last 12 months (Nov)



No, ASB Cases - Last 12 months (Nov)

No. Stage 2 Complaints -

Last 12 months (Oct)



No. Stage 1 Complaints - **0** Last 12 months (Oct)





N/A

78.9

С



Date of last CQC Score

N/A



No. Repairs - Last 12 months (Nov)

207





Total No. Formal **0** Complaints - Last 12 months (Oct)

0

0

TSM Summary of Approach



А.	A summary of achieved sample size (number of responses)	24
B.	Timing of survey	April 2024 to November 2024
C.	Collection method(s)	Online, Postal, Telephone
D.	Sample method	Census
E.	Summary of the assessment of representativeness of the sample against the relevant tenant population	N/A
F	Details of any weighting applied to generate the reported perception measures	No weighting applied
G.	Role of any named external contractor(s) in collecting, generating, or validating the reported perception measures	Acuity Research & Practice Ltd
Н.	The number of tenant households within the relevant population that have not been included in the sample frame due to exceptional circumstances	0
I.	Reasons for any failure to meet the required sample size requirements	N/A
J.	Type and amount of any incentives offered to tenants to encourage survey completion	$10 \text{ x } \pounds 50$ shopping vouchers
K.	Any other methodological issues likely to have a material impact on the tenant perception measures reported	None



This research project was carried out to conform with ISO20252:2019 and the MRS Code of Conduct.

For further information on this report please contact: **Denise Raine:** denise.raine@arap.co.uk

Acuity Tel: 01273 287114 Email: acuity@arap.co.uk Address: PO Box 395, Umberleigh, EX32 2HL

